į.i.

Attorney's Docket No.: 42390.P6482

the specification of which

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION - (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SELECTING FROM MULTIPLE VERSIONS OF A TELEVISION PROGRAM

or		nber ion Number (if applicable)		
including the claim(s), as am that the claimed invention we thereof, or patented or descrimore than one year prior to t States of America more than made the subject of an invento the United States of Ameritan twelve months (for a ut this application.	ended by any amendment re as ever known or used in the bed in any printed publication his application, that the same one year prior to this applica- tor's certificate issued before ica on an application filed by ility patent application) or sin	ntents of the above-identified specifierred to above. I do not know an United States of America before to in any country before my invente was not in public use or on sale ation, and that the invention has not the date of this application in any me or my legal representatives of x months (for a design patent application)	my invent tion there in the Unit ot been pa country for assigns to ication) pro-	of or ted tented or foreign nore rior to
I acknowledge the duty to di Title 37, Code of Federal Re	sclose all information known gulations, Section 1.56.	n to me to be material to patentabi	lity as def	ined in
application(s) for patent or investigation for patent or investigation		Inited States Code, Section 119(a)- low and have also identified below ling date before that of the applica		
priority is claimed:  Prior Foreign Application(s)	l		Priorit <u>Claim</u>	
•				
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit u application(s) listed below	nder title 35, United States C	Code, Section 119(e) of any United	l States pro	ovisional
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
(Application Number)	Filing Date			

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; Amy M. Armstrong, Reg. No. P42,265; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. P41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Kent M. Chen, Reg. No. 39,630; Lawrence M. Cho, Reg. No. 39,942; Yong S. Choi, Reg. No. P43,324; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Roland B. Cortes, Reg. No. 39,152; Barbara Bokanov Courtney, Reg. No. P42,442; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Richard Leon Gregory, Jr., P42,607; Dinu Gruia, Reg. No. P42,996; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Thomas A. Hassing, Reg. No. 36,159; Phuong-Quan Hoang, P41,839; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,139; Day H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,180; Day H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,180; Day H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,180; Day H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,180; Day H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 30,180; Day H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Tim L. Kitchen, R P41,900; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, 42,004; Thinh V. Nguyen, P42,034; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Babak Redjaian, P42,096; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Anand Sethuraman, Reg. No. P43,351; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; Geoffrey T. Staniford, P43,151; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. P42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Stephen Warhola, Reg. No. P43,237; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN I I. P. with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Roulevard. 7th Floor I of Angeles. California, 90025 ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Robert D. Anderson, Reg. No. 33,826; Joseph R. Bond, Reg. No. 36,458; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg No. 39,973; Sean Fitzgerald, Reg. No. 32,027; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; David J. Kaplan, Reg. No. 41,105; Leo V. Novakoski, Reg. No. 37,198; Naomi Obinata, Reg. No. 39,320; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Steven C. Stewart, Reg. No. 33,555; Raymond J. Werner, Reg. No. 34,752; and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435; my patent attorneys, and Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Thomas Raleigh Lane, Reg. No. P42,781; Calvin E. Wells, Reg. No. P43,256; and Alexander Ulysses Witkowski, Reg. No. P43,280; my patent agents, of INTEL CORPORATION; and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send correspondence to Allan T. Sponseller
(Name of Attorney or Agent)

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles,
California 90025 and direct telephone calls to Allan T. Sponseller, (503)684-6200.
(Name of Attorney or Agent)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First Inventor				
Inventor's Signature Olan Musika Chinal K Date 10/30/98				
Residence San Jose, California Citizenship USA (City, State) (Country)				
Post Office Address 475 Milan Drive #107, San Jose, CA 95134				
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Inventor Anthony A. Shah-Nazaroff				
Inventor's Signature				
Residence Santa Clara, California Citizenship USA				
(City, State) (Country)				
Post Office Address 779 Hilmar Street, Santa Clara, CA-95050				
Full Name of Third/Joint Christopher D. Williams				
Inventor's Signature Date 10/30/98				
Residence Soquel, California Citizenship England				
(City, State) (Country)				
Post Office Address 687 Pau Hana Drive, Soquel, CA 95073				
Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor Gregory D. Buscheck				
Inventor's Signature hegy D Buse In Date 10/30/98				
Residence San Jose, California Citizenship USA				
(City, State) (Country)				
Post Office Address 2143 Lakewood Drive, San Jose, CA 95132				
Full Name of Fifth/Joint Inventor Kenneth Alan Moore				
Inventor's Signature Klineth Coll Date 10/30/98				
Residence Ben Lomond, California Citizenship USA				
(City, State) (Country)				
Post Office Address 151 Lanita Drive, Ben Lomond, CA 95005				

<u>\_</u>

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.